

CARBON STOCKS IN TROPICAL FORESTS OF MEXICO

Víctor J. Jaramillo¹, Angelina Martínez-Yrízar², Luz Piedad Romero-Duque¹, J. Boone Kauffman³ & Felipe García-Oliva¹

Centro de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelia
 Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad Regional del Noroeste
 Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, USDA Forest Service

INTRODUCTION

 $\cdot Tropical forests represent the largest carbon pool in the terrestrial biota and the world's most productive ecosystems$

•Natural or anthropogenic disturbances may convert tropical forests in important sources of carbon to the atmosphere

•Also, they can capture and store atmospheric carbon by natural regrowth or through management practices, but there are uncertainties regarding the guantities

•Temperate and tropical forests cover ca. 30% of Mexico's land area

•Data on C pools in tropical forests at different spatial scales are scant, particularly at the ecosystem level which include biomass, necromass, and soil

 $\cdot This$ information is needed to evaluate the consequences of deforestation and land use/cover change, and to parameterize process-based simulation models

THE DRY TROPICS





Aboveground C pools (Mg/ha) in tropical dry forests of western México Dry forests of the Yucatán Peninsula (MgC/ha)

1144

2001

ABG Rainfall (mm)

	Chamela	San Javier	Site Cosalá	Huacana	Cuicatlá
ABG-Live	36	30	25	17	31
ABG-Dead	23	5	3	5	3
Total	59	35	28	22	34
Rainfall (mm)	780	655	910	908	553

•The range of variation in C pools of the western forests in Mexico may reflect differences in rainfall or conservation status

•The Yucatán forests probably represent the upper limit of the range of C pool values in the aboveground biomass of tropical dry forests in Mexico

•Reduction of the uncertainties in these ranges has implications for C capture scenarios through management or natural regeneration

Ecosystem	C pools	s (Mg/ha)) in	tropical
f	orests	of Méxic	:0	

	Forest type				
	Deciduous	Semideciduous	Evergreen	Evergreen	
Component	(Chamela)	(Chamela)	(Tuxtlas)	(Lacandona)	
ABG-Live	36	153	185	233?	
ABG-Dead	23	28	10	-	
Sub-total	59	181	195	233	
Roots	7	13	9	43*	
Soil	76	113	210	176	
Sub-total	83	126	219	219	
Total	142	307	414	452	
* = estimated (From: De Jong et al. 2000; Hughes et al. 2000; Jaramillo et al. 2003a,b				Jaramillo et al. 2003a,b)	

•The biomass C pool (ABG + Roots) in the semideciduous forest is 3-fold higher than in the deciduous forest of the same region. However, biomass C pools in the semideciduous (194) and the Los Tuxtlas evergreen (204) forests are similar, despite the large differences in annual rainfall (~700 mm vs ~ 4000 mm, respectively)

 $\cdot Implication:$ water availability regulates C $\,$ accumulation in biomass, either by differences in the landscape position of the plant communities or by differences in precipitation

 \cdot Soil C pools are 59% (semideciduous), 76-103% (evergreen) and 121% (deciduous) of the C pools in the ABG-biomass of these forests

The relative importance of ${\cal C}$ storage in the soil increases as water availability decreases; although, storage is also influenced by soil physico-chemical properties

Networks
Const. MA, Hogen, P. K., Alvez, R., D. Zong, B./ J. & Ohnstel, T. 2000. Trajnal *Resizes* neares flued and change a registric antithistion in the global arkine cycle. *Calalyzia Applications* 20:3455-3446.
Di Zong, B.J., Ohns-Gane, S., Cattil-Sonting, M.A., Narive-R. Marcin, N.A. Kong, C. Alven, T. A. 2000. Compared Resizes neares and an arkine cycle. *Calalyzia Applications* 20:3455-3461.
Indepart J.F., Garline, J. and J. and K. 2000. Compared Resizes neares and second compared resonance and the scalar flue constraints. *Calalyzia Applications* 20:3455-3461.
Indepart J.F., Karline, J. B. & Jannalis, V.J. 2000. Equiption-anisis impactive of detectations and lead an as hand registed anguard Resizes. *Resizes* Applications 20:555-507.

Are site measurements comparable to estimates at the regional level obtained through a combination of direct and indirect methods?

•ABG-biomass estimates for SE Mexico based on Cairns et al. (2000)

•15-41 MgC/ha "small selva" vs 17-36 (Western forests) y 61-73 (Yucatán forests)

·20-127 MgC/ha "tall/medium selva" vs 181-233 (Chamela, Los Tuxtlas, Lacandona)

Differences may reflect an intrinsic bias in the estimates, but also the effect of heterogeneity within the country's tropical forest landscape (primary, secondary, disturbed) Site-specific measurements are critical to constrain values that require different methods (top down) to provide regional level estimations

SECONDARY TROPICAL FORESTS



C pools (Mg/ha) in secondary tropical forests of México

	Site				
Component	Chamela	Tuxtlas*	Yucatán*	Morelos*	
BG-Biomass	19	2 - 137	5 - 47	-	
Roots	5	3 - 10	-	-	
Soil	56	207	-	38 - 40	
otal	80	211 - 354	-	-	
anges are for forests of different ages. The Yucatán data includes only the live component of Gr-biomass. am: Hughes et al. 1999; Read & Lawrence 2003 (estimated from their biomass data): Saynes et al. 20 mero-base in prec.					

Secondary forest C as a percentage of primary forest pools

•Chamela – ca. 27 years since abandonment 32% of aboveground, 78% of soil, 56% of ecosystem

•Yucatán - 2-25 years since abandonment 8-72% of live aboveground •Morelos - 10-60 years since abandonment 107%-112% of soil in top 10 cm •Tuxtlas - 6 months to 50 years since abandonment 1-74% of aboveground, 100% of soil, 51-86% of ecosystem

How long does natural regeneration take to recover aboveground C pools to levels comparable to primary forest?



79 years are needed to reach 90% of the total biomass of the primary forest

How do dry and humid tropical forests of México compare in terms of potential C emissions to the atmosphere from land-use change?

From: Huphes et al. 1999

Regional Perspective

Potential C emissions from dry and humid tropical forests in México as a function of their areas and combustion factors



These values likely represent upper-bound limits, estimates that better reflect tropical forest heterogeneity are needed.

Jaromin, V.J., Kaffran, J.B., Bostreis-Badrgaz, L. Convega, D.L. & Elingan, LE. 2003. Binnasz, C. and N. pasls in Baccan trapical dy freest landscapes, Ecosystems 6609-649. Jaromin, V.J., Anto-Homidez, & A. Kaffran, J.B. 2003. Bost bioman and andres in a trapical anytorn regioned Microsochemysical Missender pascession and ferrat conversion to pasters. Jaured of Trapical Ecology 19:457-464. Bang, L. & Larnewa, D. 2003. Bactorian in a trapical feast on the trapical feast and trapical and 19:56-77.